

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Fallon
Given Name	Sarah
Person ID	1285967
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Fallon
Given Name	Sarah
Person ID	1285967
Title	Our Vision
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>The vision is ambitious and strong however the experience so far is this is something that is happening to communities and residents rather than with them.</p> <p>People in Greater Manchester want to make it great but this has to be done by listening to concerns and making changes to improve and innovate together rather than losing places that are important to families and communities. We want a Greater Manchester that all ages can still connect with, recognise and feel like our home. So far it feels like to achieve this vision key elements of green space and important community ground are being hijacked as disposability or not as valuable</p>
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	<p>I think there isn't equity for areas in Greater Manchester. Bury is one area which seems to be using more land and building more houses and industrial areas than most others. This 'joint' vision should be 'joint' and shared across areas rather than over-developing in a handful of areas which puts extra pressure on your roads, schools and other public services in our area.</p>
Family Name	Fallon
Given Name	Sarah
Person ID	1285967
Title	Our Strategic Objectives
Type	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your written comment refers to:	2. Create neighbourhoods of choice 8. Improve the quality of our natural environment and access to green spaces 10. Promote the health and wellbeing of communities
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Same as above this is happening to neighbours not with them and it comes at the expense of our green spaces
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	More listening expertises are needed with residents it has been so complicated to express our views and has felt like a battle rather than a conversation or consultation.
Family Name	Fallon
Given Name	Sarah
Person ID	1285967
Title	JPA 8: Seedfield
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound

<p>Compliance - Legally compliant?</p>	<p>No</p>
<p>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</p>	<p>No</p>
<p>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</p>	<p>This will have significant impact on local traffic which is already experiencing issues through Simister village. This will increase big challenges to local schools which are already full and will have the biggest impact on local wildlife who use the area as their home.</p> <p>The vote in Bury was underhand and did not reflect the plans of the local community at all. It is a scandal that in this day and age such signifying changes to communities and homes can be forced upon residents.</p>
<p>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</p>	<p>The plans should halt while proper and meaning consultation with communities takes place. There are alternatives options in all areas which would allow for housing development and keep green spaces and together we can achieve this vision but without losing key spaces where we live.</p>